G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
(Miscl.)

File No. 422

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month _____

SUBJECT.

Damodar Dos Saiti Charities.
(Recovery of full assessment on the lands granted to Temples & Brahmins)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject

Resurvey of full assessment on the lands granted to temples and Brahmins.

LAST REFERENCE.—

Letter No. 9/247 dated 18th July 1904 from the Collector of the Nilamangala Taluk.

A

The Dy Comr of the Tumkur District has, with his letter no 636 dated 11th June 1904 submitted a statement of the Inam lands which had been granted to certain temples and Brahmins in the Bandikalli and other villages in the Kunigal Taluk (which are under Govt management) but which were fully assessed during the Survey Settlement, the lands not having been confirmed as Inam at the Inam Settlement.

He

He doubts

as to the correctness of the procedure

in considering these lands as

Government and receiving full

assessment on them - and therefore

solicits orders on the subject.

2. In the case of the Sivaganga

mutt lands in similar cases,

the claims of the Brahmins for

the alleged Inamdi were not recognised

but in the case of the temples

the full Survey assessment was

levied and the amount was

subsequently given to the Trustees

as cash Inamdi (Vide records B

ruined
~~sent~~ with the Sivaganga

Sheristadar's report - Nos 9 dated

18th July 1904)

Submitted for orders

Call for the opinion of
the Inam Supdt

4/8/04 20/9/04

Note continued:-

In reply to the enquiry made of the Inam superintendent, as directed, "if in that officer states, with refce to the Dy Commr's recommendation to treat the 2 villages Cands in question as Inam, that the fact of the villages being under Govt. management was not intimated to this department, that no interference has ever been made between the Inamdar & the holders under him and that the Inamdar of the village is the chief person concerned & that his wishes should be ascertained.

Flags C)
In this letter no 45 dated 2nd Nov 1904.

Submitted
4/11/04 Js
22/11/04

Dpt-sent
Js
16/2/05

Note continued:—

In the dft of the Inam Superintt, above refd to, the validity of the alienation made by the Inamdar, who was only a manager for the time being, of the Devadaya & Dharmadaya inams, was doubted & that Officers opinion in the matter was

Ltr to Dy Comr dt 21.2.05 Flags D)

called for. The Imam Superintt states in reply that the alienation having been made subsequent to 1867, it was not recognized by the Imam Deptt especially as the village had not been enfranchised. It appears to him therefore to be illegal. The apportionment with the objects of the original grant was, he says, necessary but that it was not made in Damodar Das Saiti's time; and he is of opinion that the alienation cannot be regarded as ordinary & unauthorized, and he shares with the Dy Commr's doubts as to its being quite just to levy full assessment on the alienated lands. In para 4 of his letter he argues the question at length & concludes with the opinion, in the matter of the lands assigned to minor temples being fully assessed, that they should not be interfered with if not opposed to the objects of the original grant. His letter on the subject is in fuller detail.

(x) His letter No 98 of 8.3.05 Flag A Paper under disposal

Submitted for orders
2/5/05 [illegible]
3/4/05

Obl submitted [illegible] 18/5/05

I have gone through this and find that a reference to the Journal is very necessary. Please therefore call for the original Journal or an authenticated copy of the proceedings together with the original Records returned with the Proc. of 17th Dec. 1891.

Keep a copy of these Proc: in the file 11,505

Copy Kept.

Draft submitted 4/6/03



1904

No. 2588

Name of Petitioner Shanteppa Venkatanarayanappa and others

Village Sarvanamuppa Bondakelle

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 13/5/04

Date of Receipt 16/5/04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

State as follows:—

That the above village which was given to late Dasappa and others as Inam, was taken to Government management long years back, that during Survey Settlement in 1903 it has been ordered that full assessment should be received for the Service Inam lands

to Jamnah Davaru

Lemmala Davaru temples &
these village and that if the
full assessment is demanded
from the temple Servants who
are depending on the Service Inamdars
performance of Pujas will be
neglected.

Survey that recovery of Quit
rent changed prior to the
Survey Settlement be
ordered.

A list showing the names
of temples and Service Inamdars
as annexed.

24/5/55

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
3020

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 2588
Date 16-5-1934

25-88

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	[illegible]	66	[illegible]	1 – 10	0 – 12 – 0	4 – 11 – 6	5 – 12 – 6
			[illegible]	0 – 7	0 – 8 – 0		
		135	[illegible]	1 – 39	1 – 4 – 0		
		158	[illegible]	4 – 36	4 – 8 – 0		
		162	[illegible]	4 – 0	3 – 8 – 0		
				12 – 12	10 – 8 – 0	4 – 11 – 6	5 – 12 – 6
	[illegible]	68	[illegible]	0 – 6	0 – 12 – 0	0	0 – 12 – 0
	[illegible]	147	[illegible]	2 – 7	2 – 0 – 0	0 – 9 – 4	1 – 6 – 8

3	[illegible]	248		4-13	3-8-0	3-8-0	4-0-0
	[illegible]	249		5-9	4-0-0		
				9-22	7-8-0	3-8-0	4-0-0
4	[illegible]	323	ఖుష్కి	2-35	2-8-0	0	2-8-0
5	[illegible]	331	ఖుష్కి	0-26	0-8-0	0	0-8-0
6	[illegible]	338	ఖుష్కి	0-26	0-8-0	0	0-8-0
7.	[illegible]	355	ఖుష్కి	5-13	4-8-0	5-8-6	3-15-6
		386	ఖుష్కి	5-22	5-0-0		
				10-35	9-8-0	5-8-6	3-15-6
8	[illegible]	6	ఖుష్కి	3-32	3-0-0	0-15-3	2-12-9
		12	ఖుష్కి	0-28	0-8-0		
		16	ఖుష్కి	0-11	0-4-0		
				4-31	3-12-0	[illegible]	[illegible]-2-9

[illegible]

[illegible] (signatures)

ಹೆಸರು —

ತಂದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು —

ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ —

ವಿಳಾಸ —

ಪಿನ್ ಕೋಡ್ —

ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ —

ಇ-ಮೇಲ್ ವಿಳಾಸ —

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣದ ವಿವರ —

ಅನುಭವ —

ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ —

ಸಹಿ



190 3 d

From

To

Dated 7th }
Despatched 11th } June 1904

Received

General No 636
No.

Enclosure No. 1 and 1 Hale

12.091

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District,
The 10th June 1904

From

R Doraiswami Iyer Esq
Offg Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To

M Shama Rao Esq, B.A,
Offg Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Mulgund Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that on Examination of the accounts of Bandahalli and other villages in the Kunigal Taluk which had been granted as Inam to the late Damodar Das Sait, the land particularized in the accompanying statement are found to have been granted to and enjoyed by certain temples & Brahmans.

2. The villages have now come under the management of Government and the revenue derived therefrom devoted for the maintenance of the chatrams at

Kuligyandanga

Hulugunduwage and a temple in Bambakelle.
Any unspent balance remaining after
meeting the expenses incurred in maintaining
these institutions is to be invested in savings
Bank with a view to it being used to meet
unforeseen Expenditure incurred in connection
with them

3 Some of these villages have been
surveyed and settled under the authority
of Government and on the occasion of that
Settlement the lands alluded to in the 1st
para of the letter have been treated by the
Survey Department as Government land
that is to say as land belonging to the Sanc-
dar as a dispensation holder, and the full
assessment thereon viz Rs 1, is directed,
to be recovered because the lands are not
shown in the government Inamti ac-
counts and have not been confirmed
at the Inam settlement. Seeing how-
ever that undertaking the manage-
ment of the villages the object kept

in view by Government has been to perpetuate the charities instituted by the late Damodar Das Sait and his heirs, I am not sure that it is Correct procedure to Consider these lands as Government and recover full assessment upon them.

4 I beg therefore to solicit the orders of Government on the subject

5 I beg to submit the vernacular papers on the subject ending with the Municipal Sanad's No 556 dated 27th April 1904.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B B Ramadas
for C H J Deputy Commissioner,
b/f Trunkur District

MYSORE GOVERNMENT





In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore

Monday, the 29th day of February 1904

Present-

Mr. Justice A. Rangaswamy Iyengar, Judge

and

Mr. Justice H.V. Nanjundayya,

Offg Judge

Appeal No. 15 of 1901-02

---

have belonged to some other docu-
-ment - The document is more than
30 years old and the Plaintiff being
the Successor of the person in
whose favor it was executed, it
must be presumed to have been
produced from proper custody - Nor
is there any apparent justification
for considering that the certificate
does not really belong to this docu-
ment - Exhibit P, copy of a p

Hali Droo J

the following :-

Judgment

The Plaintiffs' case is succinctly stated by the Munsiff in para 15 of his judgment - The defence is a total denial of the Plaintiffs' claim - The Munsiff has dismissed the Plaintiffs' claim on what appears to us unsound and one sided reasoning -

The first point for considera-
tion and serves as a proof of the genuine-
ness of the document, Supported as
it is by these documents, it is hard
to account for the Munsiff rejecting
the lease A as an improved and
suspecious document -

The land belongs to a Sarva
manya village which was managed
by the servants of the manyamdar
until the management was assumed
by Government a few years ago - It
is alleged that this land and another

record a finding as to the value of rent payable to the Plaintiff - The Defendants do not appear to have stated what is the proper value of the rent - Rupees 10-4-0 per Kandy does not appear too high - There will accordingly be a decree in favor of Plaintiff as per suit with costs in both Courts

(Signed) A. Rangasamiengar
Judge

(Signed) H.V. Nanjundayya
Off. Judge

"True Copy"
Thimpnam
Dy. Registrar.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Exd by CS

Date of application ——— 23-3-04
Date when stamp and fees were called for } ——do——
Date on which stamp and fees are deposited } 5-4-04 & 6
Date on which copy was ready 5-4-04
Date on which copy was delivered 5-4-04

Charges of copying & examining 1-6-8

which meant a profit of a petty sum of 5 Rupees per annum -

The lands are of small Extent and the annual are-gorm wara payable not very valuable - It is very difficult to expect much oral or documentary Evidence - People, who are shown to have been connected with this village, have been Examined as witnesses and patties written by such persons have been filed - When the main facts of lease and subsequent passing of a decree for produce have been established, there can be no valid reason for looking upon this Evidence with suspicion - The defendants have only Examined a number of witnesses, who speak merely to the Defendants' having cultivated the land, Enjoyed its produce and paid its Kandayam - All this is not inconsistent with the Plaintiffs claim - The value of mere oral Evidence as to the payment of

or not payment of wara must be very little in the absence of documents Evidencing a lease or the cultivation of the lands on Wara — The Defendants' denial of the lease and payment of Wara depending on oral Evidence alone cannot therefore be accepted -

The oral lease of 1878 is as explained by the Munsiff in para 22 of his judgment by one witness and the receipt of Gutha since by others - This Evidence must be accepted as worthy of credit under the circumstances of the case The Plaintiff must therefore be held Entitled to recover grain rent for 3 Years as claimed - The Munsiff has omitted to specify in his judgment and decree the amount claimed on account of the grain rent or to record

27-11-99

20-2-900

22

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1876 [illegible]

26 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1868 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]



ರ ೬೫.

ಚಿತ್ರಮೂಲತ್ತೂರು

ಮೆವುಜಿ ನರಸಿಂಗಾಂತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಲುಗಲ್ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ತುಮಕೂರು ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಿಯ ನಾಗು ಮತ್ತು ಇನಾಂ ಭೂ——

ಂದಾರ್ರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನ್ಯ ೧೯೬೫ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ (೧) ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಸೂಲು ತಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆನ್ಯ ೧೯೬೫

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ.	ಹೊರಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಜೆಮಾಯಿಷಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು.	ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ	ಬಿಡಿಸಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಅಯಿತಿ.	ಯಾರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅವರಹೆಸರು ಅಂದರೆ ಇನಾಂದಾರ ಅಥವಾ ವಹಿವಾಟದಾರ ಹಾಜರು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಹಾಜರು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕರ್ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರೀ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಕ್ ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ.	ವಸೂಲ್ ಬಂದರೂ ಬಾಯಿ.	ಯಾಕ್ ತಾರಿಖ್ಗೆ.
೧	೨	೩	೪		೫	೬
				ಮೂಂದಾರ ಶ್ರೀಚಲಾಲ್ದಾಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಖ್ದಾಮೋದರದಾಶೆಟ್ಟಿ—		
ನ್ಯೆಳ್ಳು	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂತೆಂಬೋಲು ಶಿತ-ಶ್ರೀರಾ ಮಂ ದ್ರೀರಾ ನ ಡಾ		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ ಚಿತೊಂಮ್ಮಾಶಿಂವಲರಮಂಗಳಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಖ್ಯೀರಾಮು ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ. ಮೂರಿಂಮುದೇವರಕಂಮೂರಕವಿ ಶೀಖ್ಸಂಶಯ—		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ. ಅಗಸರಮುರಿಶಿದ್ರಾ-ರುಖಾಂ ಮೂರಾ		
ನ್ಯೆಳ್ಳು	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ ಶ್ರೀಚಲದಾಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಖ್ದಾಮೋದರದಾಶೆಟ್ಟಿ—		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ ಗ್ರಾಂದಾರನವದರ		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ ಗ್ರಾಂದಾರನವದರ.		
ನ್ಯೆಳ್ಳು	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂಂದಾರವೇದಾಂತ್ಯಶಿಂವಲಗ್ರನ ಂಹ್ಲಾ ಖಾಬ್ರಿತ ಖ್ಲಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಗ್ರಶಂಹ್ಲಾಂ ಖಕ್ಕಾಶ್ರಿತ ನಶೆಟ್ಟಿಳಿಶಂಭ್ರತ್ತಾ ಚೆಗ್ರರು-		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂಂದಾರನವದರಮ್ಮೆಯು-		
ನವದರ	೧೯೧೦೧೬			ಮೂ ಶಚೀಲೆಕಂಳುಂಡೇಗವಡಾಶೆಟ್ಟಿ—		

೨		ನೀರಜಾಕ್ಷಿ	೧೯೩೪	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು
೧೩		ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೧	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೧೪	.	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೦	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೧೫	.	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೧	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೧೬	.	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೦	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೧೭	.	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೨	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೧೮	.	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೦	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೧೯	.	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೯	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೨೦	೦	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೪೪	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೨೧	೦	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೨೬	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೨೨	೦	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೧	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೨೩	೦	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೨	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೨೪	೦	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೨	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
೨೫	೦	ನಿಧಿರ	೧೯೧೦	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ

ಫಾರಂ ನಂ. ಬೆಳ್ಳಮಂಪಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ನಿರ್ವಹಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಕೋಲಾರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರ್ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸಾಗು ಮತ್ತು ಇನಾಂ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬದಲಿನ ಖಾತೆ

ಂದಾರ್ರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ.ಟಿನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ (೧) ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಸೂಲು ತಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ.ಗೆ ಇಸವಿ

ಖಾತೆ ನಂಬರು.	ಹೊಣೆಯಲಿನ ಹೊಸ ನಂಬರು.	ವಿವರ	ಖಾಯಂ ಜಮೀನು ಅಥವಾ ಇನಾಮು ಭೂಮಿ	ಯಾರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಅಂದರೆ ಇನಾಂದಾರ ಅಥವಾ ವಹಿವಾಟುದಾರ ಹಾಜರು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವ ಹಾಜರು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕರ್ಧೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರೀ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಕ ರ್ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ.	ವಸೂಲ್ ಬಂದರೂ ಸಾಲ್ಯ.	ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ.	ಯಾರಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ತಕ್ಕೊಂಡಿತು ಅವರ
೧	೨	೩	೪	೫	೬	೭	೮
೧	.	ನಿವರ್ಣ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರನಿವರ್ಣ-			
೨	.	ನಿವರ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರನಿವರ್ಣ-			
೧೪	.	ನಿವರ್ಣ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರಕೇಧಾಂತ ವೆಂಕ-ಲ್ಲರ ವೆಂಕ್ಟಾ ಚಾರ್ಲು ಖಜೀಲ್ದಾರ್ ವೆಂಕ್ಟಾ ಚಾರ್ಲು ವಕ್ಕೀಲರ್ ಕೇವಂಬ್ರತ್ತು ತೆನರು-			
೭	.	ನಿವರ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರತಿರುಪತ್ಯ್ಯ ವೆಂಕ ಲ್ಲರಾ ಮಂಚ್ಚಲ್ಲಾ ಖಜೀರಾಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲಾ			
೧೦	.	ನಿವರ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರಗರಳವೆಂಕ್ಟಾದೇವರಮೂ ಚಾರ್ಲು ತಿಂಮಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ			
೧೧	.	ನಿವರ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರಮೇಲಸಿತ್ತಗವರಾ- ಮುಂಡ್ಲೀಗವರಾ ಕೊಂಡೇಗವರಾನಿವಾ ಸತೆನಾ-			
೧೨	.	ನಿವರ್ಣ	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರಕೇದಾಂತ್ತಿ ವೆಂಕ ಲ್ಲರ ವೆಂಕ್ಟಾ ಚಾರ್ಲು ಖಜೀಲ್ದಾರ್ ವೆಂಕ್ಟಾ ಚಾರ್ಲು ವಕ್ಕೀಲರ್ ಚೆನ್ನರು-			
೧೩		ನಿವರ.	೧೯೧೯	ಯಾರಿಂದಾರನಿವರ್ಣ-			

೨೫	.	ನಿದರ	ಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮೂಗಾಂಬಾರನಿದರಮನೆಯು -
೨೬	.	ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮುತ್ತಯ್ಯಂಬಲಿ ಕೈಲಾಸಮಠ -
೨೭	.	ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮೂಗಾಂಬಾರಕೇದಾಂತಶಿಂಪಿ ಬಳಿಕ ನಿಂಪ್ಲಾ ಖಾಸೀರಖಲಪ್ಪೀರನಿಂಪ್ಲಾ ಖಾಸೀರಕಸಿಗಿಳಿ ಚೆಲ್ಲಿರು
೨೮	.	ನಿದರ	ರುದ್ರಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮಾ ನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೨೯	.	ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮಾ ನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೩೦	.	ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮಾ ನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೩೧	.	ಹೆಗ್ಗಡ್ತಿ	ಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮಾ ನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೩೨	.	ಪ್ಲೈಳಿಮಾ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮಾ ನಿದರಮನೆಯು -
೩೩	.	ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮಾ ನಿದರಮನೆಯು.
೩೪	.	ನಿದರ	ಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮೂಗಾಂಬಾರನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೩೫	.	ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮೂಗಾಂಬಾರನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೩೬		ನಿದರ	ಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮೂಗಾಂಬಾರನಿದರಮನೆಯು
೩೭		ನಿದರ	ಶಿವಗಂಗಾಧರ	ಮೂಗಾಂಬಾರನಿದರಮನೆಯು.

ನಂಬರ ೨೪. ಖಿತ್ಸಮಾರತು ಗ್ರಾಕ

ಮುವ್ಜಜಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಲಂಕಿಸಾರ ಈಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸಾಗು ಮತ್ತು ಇನಾಂ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬದವಿನ ಖಬರ್

ಇನಾಂದಾರ್ರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಸ್ಪ ೧೪೬೩೪೯೦ ಇಸವಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ (೧) ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಸೂಲು ತಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ಪ ೧೪೬೩೪೯೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಚಾಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ನಂಬರು.	ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕದ ಪುಟ ನಂಬರು.	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಬಾಬಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಪುಟ ಆಯಿತು.	ಯಾರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಅಂದರೆ ಇನಾಂದಾರ ಅಥವಾ ವಹಿವಾಟದಾರ ಹಾಜರು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವ ಹಾಜರು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕರ್ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರೀ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಕ ರ್ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ.	ಯಾವ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ.	ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ.	ಯಾರಕಡೆಯಿ ತಕ್ಕೊಂಡಿತ
೧	೨	೩	೪	೫	೬	೭	
೧೮		೧೦೦		ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಾರರಮೇಲಸಿರಿಗೆರೆ			
೧೯		೧೦೦		ಶ್ರೀ ನಿರ್ಮಲ್ಯಾಗೌ			
೨೦		೧೦೦		ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಶ್ರೀಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಪೀಠದ ಶ್ರೀ ಮೋಕ್ಷದಶ್ರೀಮಲ್ಲಿ			
೨೧		೧೦೦		ಶ್ರೀ ಮುಕ್ತಿಲಾಲದಾಶೆಪ್ಪ ಬಿಳ್ದಾರ			
				೧೦೦ದಾಶೆಪ್ಪ			
೨೨							

ಸಾಕಳಿ ಸಾರಷೀತಳಿಲು

ಸಾಕಳಿ ಸರಲಾಕಾಲ್ಲು ಸಾಕರವಕೆಸಿ ೧೦೦ರಂಗಳ್ಳ

ಸಾಕಳಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾಳರವಕೆಸಿ ಕಂಗ್ರ

ಸಾಕಳಿ ೨ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾದ್ರವಕೆಸಿ ಲಂಗಂಗ.

ಸಾಕಳಿಂಗ

ನಮೂನೆ ೬ಜಿ.

ಮವುಜೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು , , ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಈ ಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸಾಗು ಮತ್ತು ಇನಾಂ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬದವಿನ ಬಚಕುಗ್

ಇನಾಂದಾರ್ರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನ್ಯ ೧೪೩೩೧೦ ಇಸವಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ (೧) ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಸೂಲು ತಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನ್ಯ ೧೪೩೩ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಚಾವಡಿ ನಂಬರು.	ಪಿಂದಿನ ಪೈಮಾಸಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ನಂಬರು.	ವಿವರ	ಪಡೆಯಿನ ವಿಚರ್ಸ ಆಯಿತಾ. ಪಟ್ಟಿ	ಯಾರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅವರಹೆಸರು ಅಂವರೆ ಇನಾಂದಾರ ಅಥವಾ ಪಹಿವಾಟುದಾರ ಹಾಜರು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ವ ಹಾಜರು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಕರ್ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರೀ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಕ ರ್ದೇದಾರರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ.	ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾದ ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ.	ಯಾರಕಡೆ ತಕ್ಕೊಂಡಿ
೧	೨	೩	೪	೫	೬	೭	

The Dr. Sheridan of Leamington
has been written to on 23.7.09
to send a copy of decree
No. 1089 of 9.9.02.

2/8/17.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The ~~Asst~~ Comm^r Asst-
in mysore
~~Bangalore Dt~~

Dated

Despatched 3 & " ~~September~~ October 1904

Received

General No. 1313
Muzrai F. No. 74-93

Enclosure No. 2 & 17 & 6.

In forwarding herewith a copy of ~~His~~ letter no 636 dated from the D.C. Bangalore Dt, 11th June 1904 soliciting orders of Govt in the matter of ~~considering~~ ~~certain lands~~ remaining full assessment on certain lands endowed by the late Damodara Das Sait as Inam for the maintenance of the Chattra established by him in the Municipal Limits, Mysore,

MGCPE—1000 21—1 04

The honour to request that the Secretary Supt will be so good as to favour the Lord with his opinion —
The original enclosures of The Dy Comm'rs letter are forwarded for perusal & kind return.

30/9/04
Secretary
requested

Jrs
30/9/04

MYSC... 1678 ...MU...

1904-05

From K Doraswamy Iyer Esq,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To L Anantasama Rao Esq, B.A,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzarai Department.

Dated 29th October 1904

Despatched 31st October 1904

Received

General No. 306
No.

Enclosure No. 5

1/11/04

Hc

Please continue the

Office-note

8/11/04

Entd

This [illegible] Order in Chowdiah's
Records if orders required
[illegible]

With reference to Muzarai Secretary's docket No 1089 dated the 19th September 1904 forwarding for report the petition of Narayanda Sastry, son of Eswara Sastry, a resident of Anbyanduruga in the Kunigal Taluk praying that the full assessment imposed during Survey Settlement on certain lands granted to him as Inam by one of the heirs of the late Damodar Das Sait which are situated in the Inam

villages of Lakkasettipura and Chikkamathur may be ordered to be written off and the lands treated as Inam, the undersigned has the honor to state that the lands referred to by the petitioner have been included in the list forwarded for the orders of Government with this office letter No 636 dated the 10th June 1904 setting forth the circumstances under which the petitioners as well as certain other similar lands are still treated as kan dayam lands under the Survey Settlement.

2. The reference quoted in para 1 of the docket under reply will be replied to on receipt of the further information called for from the Amildar of Kunigal Taluk.

3. The petition with its enclosures is returned herewith.

For Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.



3/11

1904

From
The Superintendent
of Indian Settlements
in Mysore

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Municipal Department

Dated 31st
Despatched 2nd } October 1904
Received November 1904

General No. 45
No.

Enclosure No. Records.

~~His~~ docket No. 1313 / Muz. F 74-93 of the 3rd October 1904.

In reply states that the Inamdar of the whole village is the proper person whose wishes are to be consulted.

He
please continue the appointment
DS
8/11/04
Sent. resubd
11/4/04

No 45
Inv. Records

Inam Superintendent's
Office
Bangalore d 31st Octr / 2d Novr 1904.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith, the records received with your docket No 1313 Muzrai F. 74-03 of the 3rd, October 1904 and to state, in reply, that the Inams seem to have been created by the Inamdar. I cannot gather from the file what the Inamdar of the village has to say to the matter. The recommendation made by the Deputy Commissioner seems to be to treat, and recognize the lands concerned, as Inam. I observe, a fact not intimated to the Inam Department

respondents, that the villages, are now under Government in an agency. This does not seem to affect the question at issue as the villages may hereafter revert to the Inamdar. No interference has ever hitherto been made between the Inamdar, and the holders of Inams created by him. It appears to me, therefore, that the Inamdar of the village is the chief person concerned and his wishes should be ascertained.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

J. Grant

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To

The Superintendent

No 3146
Misc: 74-93. Copy & Ref. of Inam Settlements
dt 21.2.05. in Mysore

Dated February 1905.

Sir,

I am directed
to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter no 45
1707
dated 2nd November 1904,
regarding the treatment
of some of the lands
given as Inam by the
Manager of Bandikalli.
Dandeya & Shanmadeya -
and other Villages in
the Kunigal Taluk.

From a printed copy
of the Govt Order no

720-1 dated 14th
Mysore 74-93
December 1897 (a copy
of which is herewith sent
for ready reference) you
will find that the
villages of Bandihalli and
Chikkamuttur were treated
at the Inam Settlement
as Dharmadaya, and
those of Bolumahalli and
Lakkasettipura as Devadaya,
grants. In three out of
these four villages, lands
are reported to have been
given as Inam
by one of the representatives
of the original grantees to 10
temples and 8 private
individuals, as particularised
in the accompanying
- received
from

from the Dy Comrs of
the Lunkaran District.
The D. Commissioner is
of opinion that the full
assessment on these
lands as fixed by the
Survey Department can
be recovered, seeing
that they have been given
away as Inam by the
Jamadar or his heirs
prior to their coming
under the management
of the Nizam's Department.
But the chief point
for consideration is,
whether the alienation made by
the Jamadar,
who was only a manager
for the time being of
the Samasthan and

says that he is doubtful

cited Dharmadurga Inam villages, is valid and can be continued. As the villages in question are now under the management of Govt in the Inspection Department, and as there are no legal heirs of the original grantee, I am to request the favour of your opinion as to what any and reasonable concession can be shown to the individuals, who seem to have been in the enjoyment of the lands prior to their being taken up by Govt. I am also to enquire whether, in the case of the temples, the full land - on the lands

lands attached thereto
the Ryotwaris as
cannot be paid to ~~them~~
Government in cash,
~~Cash Inducements~~, sustained
by restoring ~~the occupancy~~ lands.
~~to the ryots temporarily~~
~~resumed~~

The favour of an
early reply is requested.

I have &c

Dpl. 17/2/05
Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

ks
16/2/05

3147 Dy 21.2.05.
Copy forwarded
to the Dy Commissioner,
Cuddapah District, with
reference to his letter
no 636/3204 dated 11th June
June 1904.

By order

ks Dpl.

14c
to copy
& subd
Shulay

Refer
&r
8/3/05

No 3325

No 98
(In two Copies)

Inam Superintendent's Office
Bangalore dated 8th March 1905.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Inam Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 3146 dated 10th February 1905, regarding the treatment of some of the lands given as Inam by the Manager of Bandihalli and other Devadaya and Dharmadaya villages in the Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to offer the following opinion.

2. The alienation in question having been made subsequent to 1867 (See Para 3, Precis 2, Page 3, Inam Precis) were not recognized by the Inam Department and, moreover, the villages concerned had not been enfranchised. The alienations

in

in question, therefore, appear to be illegal, excepting in so far as they can be shown to be in accordance with the powers con-=ferred by the Sanad of 1830.

3. The objects of the original grant as expressed in the Sanad granted by His Highness the late Maha Rajah — Krishnaraja Wodeyar on 8th February 1830, are stated to be, the allotment of a por-=tion of the lands to Brhamins, the maint-=nance of the, chatram, and Devastans in the villages, and the support of the family of the grantee. An apportionment, with the objects, was necessary but was not made in Damódar Dás's time nor, so far as I can ascertain, afterwards by the local manager, but it seems to me that the main objects of the grant, although not defined by allotment, have been kept in view. The alienations, at any rate, cannot be regarded as ordinary, and unauthorized. I share the Deputy Commissioner's doubts (see his letter No 63b of 10th June 1904), as

to

to its being quite just to levy full assess=ment on the lands alienated to indivi=duals. The Deputy Commissioner supports his opinion by assuming that Government in undertaking the management of the villages had in view the perpetuating of Damódar Dás Sants' charities.

4. The points upon which you now ask me for my opinion apparently concern only 3 villages Bandibally, and Chikmut=kur (Dharmadaya) and Lakshettipur (Devadaya). In these, lands have been assigned to 10 temples, and to 8 private individuals. I am of course unacquainted with details, but these alienations or assign=ments, especially in the case of individuals, do not appear to me very foreign to the objects expressed in the original Sannad and I do not see why they should not be continued. Government has stepped into the position of the original grantee and should see that the appointment contemplated in the Sannad is properly

made.

made, bringing under full assessment or resuming only those lands which have palpably been assigned in excess of the powers granted by the Sannad.

5. If the so called alienations are not opposed to the terms of the Sannad they do not seem to me to be vitiated because they were made by a person who was only a manager. If they are opposed to the objects stated on the Sannad Government can resume or fully assess the lands assigned.

6. I understand the enquiry in the closing lines of your letter to be, whether the lands assigned to minor temples may not be fully assessed and the amount so realized paid to the Archaks of the principal temple. As I have already said, I think the lands assigned should not be interfered with, if not opposed to the objects of the original grant.

7. The circular papers received with the letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed.t Servant,

A Lyall

Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

MUZRAI

1901

From

To The Dy Commr.
Tumkur Dt.

Dated 7th June 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1,1"

Muzrai F. No. " "

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his
No 636 of 10th June 05
Soliciting orders in the
of considering certain
of Bandihalli & other V
in the Kunigal Taluk,
as Inam & Dasodara
Charities, as Sircar
should

be furnished at an
early date with the

Next the matter is under correspondence between this Office and the Inam Supt and will be

an authenticated

of the Sanad dated

8th Feb: 1830 granted by His

the late Maharaja

Sir Krishna Raja Wodeyar

Bahadur III, together

with the original records

turned with Govt. Ro:

720-1 dy 17th Dec 1897.
Mur 774-93

By order

Dy Secy 9/6/05

Legy. Mur Depts

Ks
1/6/05

9/6/05

Muz. 1]

1215

190

From

To The Deputy Comr.,

Tumkur Dobruch

Dated

Despatched 14.5.1908

Received

General No. 5038

No.

Enclosure No. One.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith Petn no 4382 Dt 1st May 1908 from the residents of Huliyur doreg in the Kunigal Taluk, I am directed to invite your attention to this Office no ~~4568~~ 4568 Dt 7th June 1907 requesting to be furnished with the original or an authenticated

G P L—3,000—19-9-07

Copy of the Sanad dated
8th February 1830 granted
by His Highness the late Maha-
raja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar
Bahadur III together with the
original records ref^d with
Govt Pro: No 720-1/74-93 Dft^d
Decr 897 in the matter of
certain lands endowed to
Dandaru Dasa Charities. The Sanad & the records
have not been yet submitted.
I am to request that you
will be good enough as to expedite their
submission
~~Submit the records called for~~
at an early date, enable Govt to pass
final orders.
I have &c

Dft 13/5/08
Secretary
No. 5034
Dated 14/5/08
Sent to the Petnr